

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/598,141	FELLOWS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Houshang Safaipoor	2622	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Houshang Safaipoor.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Karl Hesse (Reg. No. 25398).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 June 2004

**Time:** 10:00am

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*I explained to Mr. Hesse that "maintenance scheduling" mentioned in the Abstract and also in the claims is neither explained in the body of the specification nor shown on the drawings. He agreed.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)